

Suggested Solution to Problem Set 3

Prof. John Rust

Econ 551b, Spring 1999

QUESTION 1 Answers given in section 9 of Rust's lecture notes, Endogenous Regressors and Instrumental Variables.

QUESTION 2 Since

$$\varepsilon = y - X\beta \sim N(0, \Omega),$$

log likelihood function for β can be written as

$$l(\beta) = -\frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Omega| - \frac{1}{2} \left((y - X\beta)' \Omega^{-1} (y - X\beta) \right).$$

MLE of β , $\hat{\beta}$, satisfies

$$\frac{\partial l(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \beta} = X' \Omega^{-1} (y - X\hat{\beta}) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$X' \Omega^{-1} y = X' \Omega^{-1} X \hat{\beta} \Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = (X' \Omega^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Omega^{-1} y = \hat{\beta}_{GLS}.$$

QUESTION 3

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(\beta) &= E \left[\frac{\partial l(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial l(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \beta'} \right] \\ &= E \left[X' \Omega^{-1} (y - X\beta) (y - X\beta)' \Omega^{-1} X \right] \\ &= X' \Omega^{-1} E[(y - X\beta) (y - X\beta)'] \Omega^{-1} X \\ &= X' \Omega^{-1} \Omega \Omega^{-1} X = X' \Omega^{-1} X \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{I}(\beta)^{-1} = \left(X' \Omega^{-1} X \right)^{-1}.$$

QUESTION 4 Let N_k be the number of times which outcome k occurred in all sample.

$$N_k = \sum_{i=1}^N I\{x_i = k\}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^K N_k = N$$

With

$$f(x_i|\theta) = \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{I\{x_i=k\}},$$

the joint distribution of $\{\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_N\}$ can be written as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_N|\theta) = \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{N_k}.$$

1. Since log likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} l_N(\theta) &= \log f(x_1, \dots, x_N|\theta) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K N_k \log \theta_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} N_k \log \theta_k + \left(N - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} N_k \right) \log \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \theta_k \right), \end{aligned}$$

MLE $\hat{\theta}_k$ (for $k = 1, \dots, K-1$) can be derived from

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} l_N(\theta) = \frac{N_k}{\theta_k} - \frac{(N - N_1 - \dots - N_{K-1})}{(1 - \theta_1 - \dots - \theta_{K-1})} = 0.$$

The solution to this system is

$$\hat{\theta}_k = \frac{N_k}{N}.$$

2. Since

$$E[N_k] = \sum_{i=1}^N EI\{x_i = k\} = \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_k = N\theta_k$$

$$E[\hat{\theta}_k] = E\left[\frac{N_k}{N}\right] = \frac{1}{N} E[N_k] = \frac{1}{N} N\theta_k = \theta_k.$$

Therefore $\hat{\theta}_k$ is an unbiased estimator.

3.

$$\begin{aligned} E[N_k^2] &= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^N I\{x_i = k\}\right)^2\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N EI\{x_i = k\}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \neq i}^N EI\{x_i = k\}I\{x_j = k\} \\ &= N\theta_k + N(N-1)\theta_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$E [\hat{\theta}_k^2] = \frac{1}{N^2} E [N_k^2] = \frac{\theta_k}{N} + \frac{(N-1)\theta_k^2}{N}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\hat{\theta}_k) &= E [\hat{\theta}_k^2] - \{E [\hat{\theta}_k]\}^2 \\ &= \frac{\theta_k}{N} + \frac{(N-1)\theta_k^2}{N} - \theta_k^2 = \frac{1}{N}(1 - \theta_k)\theta_k \end{aligned}$$

For $k \neq l$

$$\begin{aligned} E [N_k N_l] &= E \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^N I\{x_i = k\} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N I\{x_i = l\} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N E I\{x_i = k\} I\{x_i = l\} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \neq i}^N E I\{x_i = k\} I\{x_j = l\} \\ &= 0 + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \neq i}^N E I\{x_i = k\} E I\{x_j = l\} \\ &= N(N-1)\theta_k \theta_l. \end{aligned}$$

Note $E [I\{x_i = k\} I\{x_i = l\}] = 0$ since at least one of $I\{x_i = k\}$ and $I\{x_i = l\}$ must be zero.

$$E [\hat{\theta}_k \hat{\theta}_l] = \frac{1}{N^2} E [N_k N_l] = \frac{(N-1)\theta_k \theta_l}{N}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(\hat{\theta}_k, \hat{\theta}_l) &= E [\hat{\theta}_k \hat{\theta}_l] - E [\hat{\theta}_k] E [\hat{\theta}_l] \\ &= \frac{(N-1)\theta_k \theta_l}{N} - \theta_k \theta_l = -\frac{1}{N} \theta_k \theta_l \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\Sigma = \text{cov}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1(1 - \theta_1) & -\theta_1 \theta_2 & \cdots & -\theta_1 \theta_{K-1} \\ -\theta_1 \theta_2 & \theta_2(1 - \theta_2) & \cdots & -\theta_2 \theta_{K-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -\theta_1 \theta_{K-1} & \theta_2 \theta_{K-1} & \cdots & \theta_{K-1}(1 - \theta_{K-1}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

4.

For $k = l$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_k^2} l_N(\theta) = -\frac{N_k}{\theta_k^2} - \frac{(N - N_1 - \cdots - N_{K-1})}{(1 - \theta_1 - \cdots - \theta_{K-1})^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_k^2} l_N(\theta) \right] &= -\frac{N \theta_k}{\theta_k^2} - \frac{(N - N \theta_1 - \cdots - N \theta_{K-1})}{(1 - \theta_1 - \cdots - \theta_{K-1})^2} \\ &= -\frac{N}{\theta_k} - \frac{N}{(1 - \theta_1 - \cdots - \theta_{K-1})} \end{aligned}$$

For $k \neq l$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_k \partial \theta_l} l_N(\theta) = -\frac{(N - N_1 - \dots - N_{K-1})}{(1 - \theta_1 - \dots - \theta_{K-1})^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_k \partial \theta_l} l_N(\theta) \right] &= -\frac{(N - N\theta_1 - \dots - N\theta_{K-1})}{(1 - \theta_1 - \dots - \theta_{K-1})^2} \\ &= -\frac{N}{(1 - \theta_1 - \dots - \theta_{K-1})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_N(\theta) &= -E \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} l_N(\theta) \right] \\ &= N \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\theta_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\theta_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{\theta_{K-2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \frac{1}{\theta_{K-1}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + N \frac{1}{(1 - \theta_1 - \theta_2 - \dots - \theta_{K-1})} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

5. MLE can be proved to be efficient if it has a variance equal to Cramer-Rao lower bound. You can easily show $\Sigma = \mathcal{I}_N^{-1}(\theta)$ by verifying $\Sigma \times \mathcal{I}_N(\theta) = I$.